#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
	cw cw	2% CWSRF TA reserve 2% CWSRF TA reserve	Are the CWSRF 2% technical assistance funds subject to cash draw proportionality rules?  Must CWSRF technical assistance result in a future CWSRF-funded project?	The 2% technical assistance funds are an eligible type of CWSRF assistance. When any funds are drawn from a CWSRF capitalization grant (including funds for this purpose), the CWSRF proportionality rules, as provided in the regulations, apply.  No. Technical assistance provided through the CWSRF does not need to result in a future CWSRF-funded project, but must meet the requirements of section 603(k) of the Clean Water Act.	3/21/2022 3/21/2022
1.3	cw	2% CWSRF TA reserve	What size system is eligible to receive support from the CWSRF 2% technical assistance funds?	Per Section 603(k) of the Clean Water Act, the 2% technical assistance funds may be used for nonprofit organizations or State, regional, interstate, or municipal entities to provide technical assistance to "rural, small, and tribal publicly owned treatment works." For the purposes of these funds, rural and small wastewater treatment systems are systems that treat up to 1 million gallons per day (MGD) of wastewater or serve a population of less than 10,000 persons and may also serve operations including, but not limited to, hospitals, schools, and restaurants. Most wastewater systems in the nation serve populations of less than 10,000 persons. Tribal systems serve populations of federally recognized tribes, Alaska Native Villages, and tribes on former reservations in Oklahoma (as defined by the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs).	7/13/2022
2.1	Both	Additional Subsidization	in the BIL (12% floor for the DWSRF and 10% for the CWSRF) apply to supplemental appropriations in the	No. BIL provides specific percentages of required additional subsidization under each BIL supplemental funding pot. For the CWSRF General, DWSRF General, and DWSRF LSLR pots, Congress specifically overrode (via the "notwithstanding" language) the statutory percentages contained in CWA section 603(i)(3)(B) and SDWA section 1452(d)(2) to require different percentages of additional subsidy from those three appropriations. This directs states to use the percentages of additional subsidy in BIL for those CWA 603(i) and SDWA 1452(d) purposes, instead of the percentages in the underlying laws.	3/21/2022
2.2	! Both		What is the time frame for meeting the BIL additional subsidy requirement?	States must make commitments (i.e., they must sign assistance agreements, such as loans or grants, with eligible recipients), including additional subsidization funds, within one year after the receipt of each capitalization grant payment from EPA. The additional subsidy requirement for a given year's appropriation is considered to be met when the amount of subsidy funds specified in the appropriation have been disbursed. If the required amount of subsidy is not disbursed once construction is completed on all projects, the state must allocate the remaining subsidy to another eligible project.	3/21/2022
2.3	B DW	Additional Subsidization	and LSLR funds be taken out of the 49% additional	States must use 49% of the capitalization grant award as additional subsidy under the BIL DWSRF General and LSLR pots. States may use up to approximately 31% of those capitalization grant awards for set-asides. If states take the full set-asides, that leaves approximately 20% of funds for the states to use as repayable financing. Both percentages are based upon the capitalization grant award amount received by the state.	3/21/2022
2.4	Both	Additional Subsidization	Can a state apply for only the 49% additional subsidy dollars without taking the 51% loan funds?	No, the BIL statute does not allow this. The additional subsidy percentage is based upon the capitalization grant amount received by the state.  The BIL requires states to provide an exact amount of additional subsidy. States must give exactly 49% of the capitalization grant	3/21/2022
2.5	6 Both			award to eligible entities as principal forgiveness or grants (or any combination of these). However, states may take DWSRF setasides from the remaining 51% of funds, and some of these DWSRF set-aside funds may be used for LSL inventories and LSLR-related technical assistance.	3/21/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
2.0	5 Both	Additional Subsidization	Can additional subsidy from the 5 BIL SRF funding pots be used by states to forgive SRF-eligible debt with an SRF-eligible assistance recipient for debt incurred in the past?	Yes, but only where such debt was incurred after November 15, 2021, the date of the BIL's enactment, the recipient is otherwise eligible for additional subsidy, and if all applicable cross-cutters were followed. The BIL authorizes additional subsidy under the 5 BIL SRF funding pots exclusively in the forms of forgiveness of principal and grants. The law does not explicitly authorize additional subsidy in formats that allow for prior-incurred debt reductions or eliminations (i.e., write-offs), unlike the authorization Congress created under the base SRF programs (see Question 2.7 below). Given the language in the BIL appropriation, EPA looked to the Congressional intent of the BIL supplemental funds and concluded that the BIL, also known as the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, is fundamentally an infrastructure construction and jobs creation law. Reducing or eliminating prior-incurred debt does not spur infrastructure construction nor does it create jobs. However, EPA encouraged communities to expeditiously begin SRF-eligible work after the BIL was signed into law on November 15, 2021. To do so, some communities may have taken out short-term, non-SRF financing (such as a "bridge loan") to spur this early construction work before BIL SRF funding was made available to them. Consistent with EPA's regulatory interpretation on pre-award costs for grants generally, which allows some costs that are incurred prior to the award of a grant to be charged to the grant, EPA is allowing an extended period, in this case, for SRF pre-award costs incurred after November 15, 2021. This will allow state SRF programs sufficient flexibility to work with those communities that have incurred costs prior to the date of a loan to pay off a portion or all of that short-term funding by rolling it into a longer term SRF loan. [Note that EPA clarified this answer from the 9/27/2022 version.]	7/13/2022; Updated 9/27/2022
		Additional Subsidization	Can additional subsidy from base (not BIL) funding, as authorized by the CWA 603(i)(3)(B) and SDWA 1452(d)(2), be used by states to forgive SRF-eligible debt with an SRF-eligible assistance recipient for debt incurred before the date of the appropriations law		7/13/2022
		Additional	Are decentralized systems eligible to receive additional subsidy from the CWSRF BIL general	Yes. Per section 603(i)(1), additional subsidy may be provided to any CWSRF-eligible entity to implement a process, material, technique, or technology to address water-efficiency goals; to address energy-efficiency goals; to mitigate stormwater runoff; or to encourage sustainable project planning, design, and construction.  Decentralized wastewater treatment projects may qualify under the sustainable project planning, design, and construction criteria. Sustainable planning, design and construction means projects that are sited, sized, and designed to meet the design specifications over the life of the system and furthermore that maintenance considerations are factored into the design based on the application of the technology selected. States may deem a sustainable decentralized wastewater treatment project eligible and should document this determination in the project file in the same manner as a determination would be documented for other approvable projects that are eligible for SRF assistance. A variety of treatment and collection options are available when implementing decentralized wastewater systems, such as a conventional septic tank and drainfield with soil-based treatment, drip distribution, mound, aerobic treatment unit, recirculating sand filter, evapotranspiration, constructed wetland, etc. Many of these systems can be either single or clustered/community decentralized wastewater treatment systems.  Additional examples of decentralized wastewater treatment projects that could qualify include, but are not limited to, the following:  -Decommissioning of a cesspool and replacement with a sustainable decentralized wastewater treatment system where wastewater is discharged with no treatment into surface waters or into or onto the ground -Cost-effective soil-based treatment alternatives	
2.8	3 CW		supplemental appropriation?	As always, if eligibility questions arise, states can reach out to EPA and confer.	7/13/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
2.9	DW	Additional Subsidization	the BIL DWSRF LSLR funds?	Yes, as long as the distinction is clearly explained in the state's Intended Use Plan and the criteria meet all statutory requirements.	7/13/2022
3.1	cw	Allotments	Will the state CWSRF allocations change following results of the Clean Watersheds Needs Survey (CWNS)?	No. The CWSRF state allocations are strictly defined by the Clean Water Act (CWA) and barring a statutory change by Congress, EPA cannot update the CWA allotment formula to reflect the results of the latest Clean Watersheds Needs Survey.	3/21/2022
4.1	Both	Cash Draws	May a state SRF provide advance payments to a SRF assistance recipient (e.g., a water or wastewater system)?	No. Per regulation at 40 CFR §35.3155(d)(2) and 40 CFR §35.3565(a)(1), SRF assistance recipients (an eligible recipient such as a water or wastewater system) <i>must first incur a cost</i> associated with an executed assistance agreement for the state SRF to have the authority to draw capitalization grant funds from the Treasury and disburse those funds to the assistance recipient. The assistance recipient need <i>not</i> have paid for the cost with their own funds first; instead, the assistance recipient can immediately forward the (unpaid) invoice to the state SRF for prompt review and disbursal of funds. Therefore, there is no need for SRF assistance recipients to pay for the invoices with their own funds first.	3/21/2022
	DW	DWSRF Set- asides	What happens to the reserved set-aside authority after the end of new BIL appropriations and when all BIL funds are expended?	To use reserved BIL set-aside authority in future years, states may use funds from both BIL and base DWSRF capitalization grants. In other words, the use of reserved BIL set-aside fund <i>authority</i> is not limited to actual BIL funds. For example, a state DWSRF may reserve set-asides authority under the FY 2022 BIL DWSRF LSLR capitalization grant and make use of that reserved authority under the FY 2024 BIL DWSRF LSLR capitalization grant, or out of the FY 2024 base capitalization grant (for BIL DWSRF LSLR set-asides eligible activities).	3/21/2022
5.2	DW	DWSRF Set-asides	How do state DWSRF programs calculate the ceiling they may take for the Administrative and Technical Assistance set-aside as authorized under SDWA 1452(g)(2)(A)?	The maximum annual amount of DWSRF money (not including any fees collected) that may be used to cover the reasonable costs for administration and technical assistance (as authorized under SDWA section 1452(g)(2)(A)) is the greatest of the following: an amount equal to 4% of all grant awards to the fund received by a state DWSRF for the fiscal year; \$400,000; or 1/5th percent of the current valuation of the fund. Per the SDWA, states make this calculation once per year, taking into account all federal capitalization grants received that year. States must calculate the ceiling for that year and document it in the Intended Use Plan. Funds for this set-aside may be reserved in any amount from zero up to that ceiling.	7/13/2022
6.1	DW	Eligibilities	Is bottled or trucked-in water an eligible DWSRF expense, from either the revolving loan fund or the set-asides?	No. The purchase of bottled or trucked-in water is not an eligible use of funds under the DWSRF. By law (SDWA 1452(a)(2)(B)), DWSRF funds can only be used to "facilitate compliance with national primary drinking water regulations (NPDWRs) applicable to the system." EPA regulations at 40 CFR 35.3520(b) describe the types of projects eligible for DWSRF funding, and all involve infrastructure. Bottled or trucked-in water is not a capital investment nor does it help drinking water systems achieve or maintain SDWA compliance, the central purpose of the DWSRF. Given that bottled or trucked in water does not help build the technical, managerial, nor financial capacity of water systems, it is also not an eligible expense under the DWSRF set-asides.  State DWSRFs may fund limited infrastructure (from the revolving loan fund) that may be necessary for trucked-in water (i.e., storage, piping or tap stands) during a "do not drink" order or other emergency situation, as long as the public water system will own that infrastructure and takes out the assistance agreement with the state DWSRF for the infrastructure.	3/21/2022
6.2	DW	Eligibilities	Are owners of private wells and capital work at private wells (e.g., repair or installation of a private well) eligible for DWSRF assistance?	No. This is not an eligible use of funds under the DWSRF. By law (SDWA 1452(a)(2)(B)), DWSRF funds can only go to public water systems, and public water systems can only use DWSRF funds to "facilitate compliance with national primary drinking water regulations (NPDWRs) applicable to the system." Work on a private well – which is by definition not part of a public water system – does not help a public water system meet the NPDWRs standards. Private wells are not connected to public water systems, nor are private well owner customers of public water systems. Further, private wells are not regulated under the SDWA and are thus not subject to the NPDWRs.  However, public water systems may get DWSRF financing to extend service to those who were previously on private wells. DWSRF assistance is also available to create new public water systems (i.e., a new public water system composed of customers who were previously on private wells).	3/21/2022
		_	-		

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
6.3	B DW	Eligibilities	Is water sampling an eligible DWSRF expense?	Sometimes. States may use the DWSRF set-asides to conduct special (non-routine) monitoring to establish a baseline understanding of a contaminant of concern (e.g., PFAS). Note that routine compliance monitoring and operations and maintenance expenses are statutorily prohibited (see SDWA 1452(a)(2)).	3/21/2022
6.4	1 DW	Eligibilities	Is sampling at a private well an eligible DWSRF expense?	Sometimes. States <u>cannot</u> provide funds to private well owners for sampling. However, states may offer public water systems funding under the DWSRF set-asides for non-routine, not-compliance-related sampling at private wells to determine potential sources of contamination of the public water system's source water. The public water system may share the sampling results with the private well owners. Note that routine compliance monitoring and operations and maintenance expenses are statutorily prohibited (see SDWA 1452(a)(2)).	3/21/2022
			Can states use the BIL DWSRF Emerging Contaminant pot for projects for which the primary purpose is to address a contaminant with an established national primary drinking water regulation (NPDWR) maximum contaminant level (MCL) in situations	No. For a project or activity to be eligible for funding under the BIL DWSRF Emerging Contaminant appropriation, it must be otherwise DWSRF eligible, and the primary purpose must be to address emerging contaminants in drinking water with a focus on perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). Projects that address any contaminant listed on any of EPA's Contaminant Candidate Lists are eligible (i.e., CCL1 – draft CCL5).  States may use the BIL DWSRF General or DWSRF base program funding for projects that address regulated contaminants	
6.5	5 DW	Eligibilities	where the state has a more strict (i.e., lower) MCL?	where the state has set a more strict MCL.	3/21/2022
				No, replacement of premise piping is not eligible for BIL or base DWSRF funding. SDWA 1452(a)(2)(B) says, "Financial assistance under this section may be used by a public water system only for expenditures (including expenditures for planning, design, siting, and associated preconstruction activities, or for replacing or rehabilitating aging treatment, storage, or distribution facilities of public water systems, but not including monitoring, operation, and maintenance expenditures) of a type or category which the Administrator has determined, through guidance, will facilitate compliance with national primary drinking water regulations applicable to the system under section 300g–1 of this title or otherwise significantly further the health protection objectives of this subchapter."	
				Premise plumbing is not part of a public water system. It is not owned, maintained, or controlled by the public water system. Therefore, the DWSRF generally cannot fund anything beyond the service line (Note: in some limited cases, replacement fixtures are eligible expenses if the primary purpose is for water conservation).	
6.6	5 DW	Eligibilities	Is premise plumbing eligible for BIL or base DWSRF funding?	However, there are instances in which entities such as schools themselves are public water systems. This may happen when the entity (e.g., a school) is a non-profit, noncommunity water system. In those cases, the entity owns all of its premise plumbing and that plumbing is part of its system. In those cases, replacement of that plumbing is DWSRF-eligible. [Note that EPA clarified this answer from the 3/21/2022 version.]	3/21/2022;

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
			Can asbestos cement (A/C) pipe be replaced via pipe	Pipe replacement projects involving A/C pipe are subject to the requirements of the Asbestos National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), 40 CFR Part 61, subpart M. The Asbestos NESHAP is a collection of work practice standards intended to minimize the release of asbestos fibers during activities involving the handling of asbestos. In order to be eligible under the SRF programs and in compliance with federal crosscutters, an A/C pipe replacement project must comply with the Asbestos NESHAP. Neither pipe breaking nor pipe bursting activities comply with the Asbestos NESHAP: open trenching, abandonment in place, and close tolerance pipe slurrification (CTPS). Open trenching involves excavating the entire A/C pipe, wet-cutting the pipe into sections using a snap cutter or similar tool, wrapping the pipe for containment, and removing the pipe for disposal. In lieu of open trenching, A/C pipe may be abandoned in place, with the new pipeline laid in a separate area without acting upon the existing A/C pipe. In addition, in 2019, EPA approved CTPS as an alternative work practice. The CTPS alternative work practice is a form of trenchless technology that provides an alternative to open trench for A/C pipe replacement that meets the requirements of the Asbestos NESHAP. Unlike pipe bursting and pipe breaking, CTPS does not leave friable asbestos (defined in the Asbestos NESHAP) in the ground. EPA has not approved an alternative work practice for other trenchless technologies such as pipe bursting, pipe breaking, or other similar methods.  Forces such as those required for pipe bursting or pipe breaking of A/C pipe create friable asbestos. Leaving friable asbestos in the ground does not comply with the requirements of the Asbestos NESHAP. For general information about the Asbestos NESHAP, visit: https://www.epa.gov/asbestos/overview-asbestos-national-emission-standards-hazardous-air-pollutants-neshap. For information about CTPS, visit https://www.epa.gov/stationary-sources-air-pollution/notice-final-a	
6.7	Both	Eligibilities	bursting or pipe breaking?	alternative-work-practice-standard-asbestos.	3/21/2022
6.8	Both	Eligibilities	Must there be evidence that emerging contaminants exist in the water to receive the funds from the BIL CWSRF or DWSRF emerging contaminants pot? For example, if a water or wastewater system wants to add PFAS treatment as a preventative measure, is this eligible?	Freventative-focused projects are eligible under these BIL funding pots. However, these projects should rank lower on Project Priority Lists than those projects addressing present contamination.	3/21/2022
6.9	DW	Eligibilities	Are PFAS buy-back programs eligible under the DWSRF? E.g., could a state DWSRF provide a loan to a water utility to buy back firefighting foam that contains PFAS? If not a loan, what about as a source water protection project with set asides?	No, such programs are not eligible under the DWSRF.	7/13/2022
		Eligibilities		No. Addressing groundwater contamination is a cleanup activity usually authorized under other environmental statutes, such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), and not SDWA. Remediation of groundwater contamination tends to require highly specialized technical, legal, engineering, and risk management and communication capabilities that do not align with DWSRF programs and project types. Existing DWSRF eligibilities—including treatment installation, new source development, and water system consolidation—can be implemented far more readily and effectively than remediation if the goal is to reduce contaminants in drinking water supplies and more quickly protect communities.	7/13/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
				<ul> <li>Any wastewater treatment project for which the primary purpose is to address emerging contaminants and meets the criteria for CWSRF eligibility outlined under section 603(c) of the CWA is eligible. This includes but is not limited to:</li> <li>Projects at wastewater treatment facilities: Installation of technology to treat for PFAS and other emerging contaminants at POTWs is eligible.</li> <li>In Alabama, the wastewater treatment plant at West Morgan-East Lawrence Water and Sewer Authority is looking to fund a project to treat PFAS contaminated backwash from their water treatment facility. This would help significantly decrease the load of PFAS released from the backwash of the drinking water treatment plant eventually entering the Tennessee River with drinking water intakes located downstream of the NPDES permitted outfall.</li> <li>Water reuse: Potable and non-potable water reuse/reclamation projects that may be applying advanced treatment (e.g., reverse osmosis, granulated activated carbon, or ion exchange) to remove PFAS or other emerging contaminants are eligible.</li> <li>In Arizona, the City of Tucson is looking to fund a project to treat reclaimed water contaminated with PFAS that is intended for aquifer storage/indirect potable reuse and landscape irrigation. The city submitted a preliminary application and is likely to</li> </ul>	
			What types of wastewater treatment projects are eligible	<ul> <li>propose using granular activated carbon (GAC) for treatment.</li> <li>In Virginia, the Upper Occoquan Service Authority is considering upgrades to its regional water reclamation plant to add ozone biofiltration to its existing GAC treatment to remove a wider array of chemical compounds and pathogens of concern including</li> </ul>	
6.11		Eligibilities	for CWSRF emerging contaminants funding?  What types of stormwater projects are eligible for CWSRF emerging contaminants funding?	perchlorate, 1-4 dioxane, nitrosamines, PPCPs, flame retardants, and alkyl acids (including PFAS).  Any stormwater project or activity for which the primary purpose is to address emerging contaminants and meets the criteria for CWSRF eligibility outlined under section 603(c) of the CWA is eligible. This includes but is not limited to:  • Stormwater: In areas that are impaired or impacted by emerging contaminants based on previous monitoring efforts, projects that can trap and/or treat the contaminants in runoff prior to reaching waterbodies or instream treatment or removal may be eligible. Some examples include:  • Construction of structures at industrial facilities to cover PFAS-containing materials that would otherwise be exposed to and transported in stormwater.  • Development of a stormwater plan to identify capital projects that address emerging contaminants.  • Purchase and installation of sampling equipment for industrial and municipal stormwater.  • Purchase and installation of mesh screens and containment systems designed to capture and remove microplastics from industrial and municipal stormwater.  • Installation of stormwater controls designed to filter and remove microplastics from stormwater.  • Purchase of a vacuum or vacuum-type system to pick up microplastics to prevent flushing into stormwater . Installation of stormwater discharges.	9/27/2022
6.13	cw	Eligibilities	What types of nonpoint source projects are eligible for CWSRF emerging contaminants funding?	Eligible nonpoint source projects are capital projects that support the implementation of a current EPA approved state nonpoint source (NPS) management program plan or nine-element watershed-based plan established under Section 319 of the Clean Water Act and may be publicly or privately owned. Any nonpoint source project or activity for which the primary purpose is to address emerging contaminants and meets the criteria for CWSRF eligibility outlined under section 603(c) of the CWA is eligible. This includes, but is not limited to:  • Landfills: Eligible landfill projects could include landfill closure (e.g., capping) or landfill runoff and leachate collection and treatment that will reduce runoff contaminated with PFAS or other emerging contaminants. The modification/expansion of existing or construction of new publicly owned landfills (local and regional) primarily designed and permitted (per state and federal regulations) to accept POTW biosolids with emerging contaminants is also eligible.  • Contaminated sites: Contaminated eites may include Brownfields, Superfund sites of current or former aboveground or underground storage tanks. Projects that address PFAS through capping, in-situ treatment, or removal of contaminated material as part of the implementation of a state nonpoint source management plan may be eligible.  • Surface Water Protection and Restoration: Projects that address emerging contaminants in waterbodies include:  • Equipment for the physical or chemical removal of HABs, for example, strategically placed aeration blowers to remove and control algal blooms or flocculant-based methods to facilitate algae removal.  • Projects that can skim surface water to remove microplastics along with other plastic pollutants.	9/27/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
6.14	CW	Eligibilities	What kinds of planning and assessment activities are eligible for CWSRF emerging contaminant funding?	Planning and design for capital projects, as well as broader water quality planning, are eligible provided there is a reasonable expectation that the planning will result in a capital project. For example, funding can be used for preconstruction activities to help prepare planning, preliminary engineering, and alternatives analysis documents. Funding may also be used to procure and install monitoring equipment (e.g., auto samplers). States may also lend to non-profits under section 603(c)(11) of the CWA to provide assistance to small and medium sized POTWs in planning, design, and associated preconstruction activities related to emerging contaminants.	9/27/2022
				While water quality monitoring activities (including monitoring of PFAS associated with NPDES permit or pretreatment requirements) at POTWs are generally not eligible, monitoring for the specific purpose of project development (planning, design, and construction) is eligible. Monitoring in this capacity, and within a reasonable timeframe, can be integral to the identification of the best solutions (through an alternatives analysis) for addressing emerging contaminants and characterizing discharge and point of disposal. Though ideally the planning and monitoring for project development would result in a CWSRF-eligible capital project, in some instances, the planning could lead to outcomes other than capital projects to address the emerging contaminants. For nonpoint source projects, funding may also be used to assess project effectiveness after construction. Examples of eligible planning and monitoring activities/costs could include:  • Purchase of monitoring or laboratory analysis equipment.	
				• Monitoring to characterize stormwater or wastewater to inform an engineering report and the identification and selection of the appropriate treatment technology/project alternatives. Wastewater characterization may already be a current requirement in some states for wastewater treatment system project planning. For example, the State of Washington Department of Ecology's Criteria for Sewage Works Design requires Engineering Reports to contain a statement of the present and expected future quantity and quality of wastewater, including any industrial wastes which may be present or expected in the sewer system.	
6.15	CW	Eligibilities	Can the CWSRF emerging contaminant funds be used to conduct monitoring?	<ul> <li>Monitoring of wastewater influent/effluent/sludge to determine the fate of PFAS, antimicrobial resistant bacteria, or other emerging contaminants, to inform the identification and selection of the appropriate treatment technology.</li> </ul>	9/27/2022
0.13	CW	Lingionities	conduct monitoring:	Under the BIL, states have the flexibility to use up to an amount equal to 2% of their CWSRF capitalization grant for the purpose of hiring staff, nonprofit organizations, or regional, interstate, or municipal entities to assist rural, small, and tribal POTWs. The form of that assistance is flexible and could include, but is not limited to, community outreach, technical evaluation of wastewater solutions, preparation of applications, preliminary engineering reports, and financial documents necessary for receiving SRF assistance. For example, these funds could be used for a state staff position or eligible non-profit organization to assist rural, small, and tribal systems with emerging contaminant sampling and monitoring, including identification of emerging contaminant sources within the sewershed. This technical assistance could also include assisting the systems with understanding the monitoring results and identifying follow up actions, such as the need for capital projects to address the emerging contaminants. Beyond using CWSRF emerging contaminants funds to conduct planning and monitoring to support capital project development, additional funding sources that states can use to more broadly assist with emerging contaminants monitoring and planning efforts include:	3/21/2022
				Water Quality Management Planning Grants (604(b)): States can use all or a portion of the 604(b) grant funding from CWSRF base, supplemental, and emerging contaminants allotments to perform POTW influent emerging contaminant monitoring, sewershed monitoring (emerging contaminant source identification), including hiring state staff to perform monitoring. States must develop a workplan for EPA review and approval describing activities or projects to be funded. In addition, the workplan developed by the state must show how the state is working with and providing at least 40% of the 604(b) funds to Regional Public Comprehensive Planning Organizations and interstate organizations. The Governor can request a waiver with the appropriate justification if this requirement cannot be met. The 604 (b) workplan must also show how disadvantaged communities will benefit from the proposed activity. For more information, see EPA's Interim Implementation Guidelines for Clean Water Act Section 604(b) Water Quality Management Planning Grants for Fiscal Years 2022 through 2026.	
6.16	CW	Eligibilities	What sources of funding may be used for emerging contaminant planning, monitoring, and assessment efforts?	Fees: States that charge SRF administrative fees can use nonprogram income to provide grants for monitoring to help build their project pipeline or pair with SRF funding where the SRF covers the eligible monitoring equipment. Fees may be used to pay for the lab analysis cost, staff, and other non-SRF eligible expenses.	9/27/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
6.17	Both	Eligibilities	How does a state determine whether particular components of projects are eligible for the BIL Emerging Contaminant pot of funding?	If the project component is integral to the emerging contaminant purpose of the project, then expenses related to that component may be drawn from the BIL EC pot of money. For example, if an existing water treatment plant is being upgraded to add PFAS treatment, but other components/upgrades are necessary at the plant or elsewhere to support this addition (in other words, the other upgrades are essential to the function or security of the PFAS treatment component being installed), then the additional components/upgrades are also eligible under the SRF BIL EC pot of funding.	
7.1	Both	Equivalency	If a state has a project that is large enough to meet the base and BIL appropriations, can states use it as equivalency for both appropriations?	Yes, if an assistance agreement is made with both BIL funds and base program funds, the project may be used to meet the equivalency requirements for both the BIL and base capitalization grants provided that the amount counted towards each equivalency requirement is proportional to the amount BIL and base amounts in the SRF assistance agreement. [Note that EPA significantly updated this answer from the 3/21/2022 version.]	3/21/2022; Updated 7/13/2022
7.2	CW	Equivalency	Does the CWSRF A/E procurement equivalency requirement (CWA section 602(b)(14)) apply to design-build and Construction Manager At-Risk procurements?	EPA will adopt the Federal Transit Administration's (FTA's) procedures for handling design-build and Construction Manager At-Risk procurements. In Circular C 4220.1F: Third Party Contracting Guidance, FTA established a policy of requiring qualifications based selection procedures be followed for design-build procurements where design cost is predominant (51% or more of total cost) and construction contracting procedures (not applicable to CWSRF) where construction cost is predominant. Based on their Q&As, this policy extends to Construction Manager At-Risk procurements. Therefore, where the construction cost is predominant, Section 602(b)(14) does not apply to design-build or Construction Manager At-Risk procurements.	7/13/2022
7.3	Both	Equivalency	If a single assistance agreement is funded with both BIL and base funds, must the entire assistance agreement comply with the equivalency requirements?	Yes. States cannot apply equivalency requirements to a portion of an assistance agreement. In addition, all BIL supplemental appropriations (general supplemental and emerging contaminants) are federal funds, and therefore, all equivalency requirements apply to projects funded by BIL.	7/13/2022
				Yes. Transparency and consistency are of the utmost importance to ensure that the BIL funds are being used effectively and efficiently. BIL equivalency projects must be designated as such on the states' BIL IUP and be reported in FFATA. Thereafter, these projects will be considered to be "federal projects." These projects must meet all BIL specific requirements as well as general SRF equivalency requirements.  Consistent with long-standing successful practice in the SRFs, states may "cut the tie" when it comes to disbursements of actual dollars from the BIL CWSRF and DWSRF General Supplementals. In other words, a base program project could receive disbursements out of these capitalization grants. This is allowable because the BIL CWSRF and DWSRF General Supplementals have universal project eligibilities, i.e., these capitalization grants' eligibilities match the full suite of eligibilities under the base programs. For example, an upgrade of a publicly owned wastewater treatment plant. Note that this is not allowable under the BIL CWSRF and DWSRF Emerging Contaminants and BIL DWSRF Lead Service Line Replacement (LSLR) supplementals, given the narrower eligibilities under those capitalization grants.	
7.4	Both	Equivalency	Can state disburse BIL CWSRF/DWSRF General Supplemental capitalization grant funds to CWSRF/DWSRF base program projects or vice versa?	EPA continues to promote the use of first-in-first-out (FIFO) in the SRFs and encourages states to use FIFO within each of the 5 "tranches" of BIL SRF supplementals. For example, a state DWSRF is encouraged to disburse funds from its BIL DWSRF LSLR 2022 infrastructure funds first before drawing from its BIL DWSRF LSLR 2023 infrastructure funds. The FIFO practice is consistent with the "expeditious and timely use" directives of the Clean Water and Safe Drinking Water Acts.	7/13/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
			Can a state apply equivalency to SRF BIL General	Yes, states can designate a group of SRF funded projects equal to the amount of the capitalization grant as federal projects. Such projects will need to comply with all equivalency requirements tied to federal SRF funding (e.g., federal crosscutters, FFATA, BABA, Single Audit Act, etc). This is allowable because the BIL CWSRF and DWSRF General Supplementals have universal project eligibilities, i.e., these capitalization grants' eligibilities match the full suite of eligibilities under the base programs.  States are not required to designate projects that received additional subsidy from the BIL General Supplemental capitalization grant as federal projects that must comply with equivalency requirements.  However, states cannot use projects funded in prior years to meet the equivalency requirements of an SRF BIL General Supplemental capitalization grant. That is because many of these equivalency requirements are from other federal laws and	
7.!	5 Both	Equivalency	Supplemental capitalization grants?  Can a state apply equivalency to the SRF BIL Emerging	Executive Orders. As a result, EPA does not have the authority to allow states to bank them.	7/13/2022
7.0	<b>6</b> Both	Equivalency	Contaminants (EC) and Lead Service Line Removal (LSLR) capitalization grants?	No. Due to the narrower eligibilities tied to this funding, all federal requirements must apply to projects directly funded by these capitalization grants. As a result, states cannot apply equivalency to these grants and designate projects as federal.	7/13/2022
			For SRF programs, is BABA considered a federal cross-	Yes, BABA is considered a federal cross-cutting requirement that applies to SRF assistance equivalent to the federal capitalization grant (i.e., "equivalency" projects). EPA's SRF regulations at 40 CFR 35.3145 and 35.3575 require states and recipients of SRF funds equivalent to the amount of the federal capitalization grant to comply with federal cross-cutting requirements. Section 70914 of the IIJA, which states when a Buy America preference applies, explains that "none of the funds made available for a Federal financial assistance program for infrastructuremay be obligated for a project unless all of the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States."  Therefore, BABA only applies to projects funded in an amount equivalent to the federal capitalization grant and not to those projects receiving funds beyond the capitalization grant (i.e., "non-equivalency" projects). (Note: The American Iron and Steel (AIS) requirements in the Safe Drinking Water Act and the Clean Water Act continue to apply to all SRF projects, including non-	
7.7	<b>7</b> Both	Equivalency	cutting authority (i.e., do "equivalency" rules apply)?	equivalency projects.)	7/13/2022
8.:	<b>1</b> Both	Grants Management	Will BIL capitalization grants have the same Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number, now referred to as "Assistance Listing," as the base CWSRF and DWSRF capitalization grants?		3/21/2022
8.2	<b>2</b> Both	Grants Management	When states submit capitalization grant applications to EPA, may states use the same Attorney General certification for each application (i.e., is only one state Attorney General certification is required per year)?	Yes. Only one Attorney General certification is required per year.	3/21/2022
8.3	<b>3</b> Both	Grants Management	If a state has spent more in state match for base grants than required, can that excess amount(s) be applied to the match requirement for the BIL supplemental capitalization grants?	Yes. If the state provides a match in excess of the required amount, the excess balance may be banked toward subsequent match requirements, including BIL capitalization grants. See 40 CFR 35.3135(a)(4) and 40 CFR 35.3550(g)(5).	3/21/2022
8.4	<b>4</b> Both	Grants Management	May states submit one application in grants.gov for all BIL appropriation funds (e.g., combine the two annual CWSRF BIL pots into one application, and/or combine the three annual DWSRF BIL pots into one application?	No, states must apply for and EPA must award separate grants for each BIL appropriation and base appropriation. Congress appropriated 5 separate SRF capitalization grants per year via BIL that each have specific purposes. Separate applications and grants are consistent with grants regulations and reporting requirements and needs. Further, the federal government's grants management system does not allow multiple grant awards to be made from one application.  To reduce administrative burden, states may use many of the same supporting materials within each application, or incorporate them by reference. For example, states may combine base and/or BIL pot(s) of funding into a single IUP and PPL, or split into separate documents.	3/21/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
8.5	5 DW	Grants Management	Can the Water Infrastructure Investments for the Nation (WIIN) Small, Underserved, and Disadvantaged Community (SUDC) grant be deposited into a state's DWSRF?	No. EPA does not have the authority to combine funds from the DWSRF and the WIIN SUDC programs.	3/21/2022
8.6	5 DW	Grants Management	·	Yes. Conditional awards are allowed under Grants Policy Issuance (GPI) 12-06:Timely Obligation, Award and Expenditure of EPA Grant Funds. Conditional capitalization grants may be useful when the state does not yet have enough eligible projects and/or activities listed on its IUP to apply for the full capitalization grant amount, but expects to have additional eligible projects and/or activities identified in the near future. With conditional awards, if the state and Region have completed negotiations for part of the work plan, the Region may conditionally approve the work plan and obligate the full amount of the capitalization grant award placing appropriate drawdown/payment term and condition restrictions for the portion of the work plan not yet approved. This does not prohibit work from beginning on approved activities. Such an arrangement would allow, for example, for states to begin LSL inventory work out of the DWSRF set-asides and to begin identified LSLR construction projects. Once additional LSLR construction projects are identified, the state must submit an updated IUP (including an updated Project Priority List) to include those newly-identified projects. EPA will then review and as appropriate, approve the updated workplan and amend the term and condition on the award.	
8.0	טעט פ	Management	Is there a limit to the amount of BIL DWSRF LSLR	amend the term and condition on the award.	//13/2022
9.1	<b>I</b> DW	LSLR	supplemental funds that can be used by states to fund LSL inventories?	No. There is no statutory minimum or maximum, but EPA expects IUPs to reflect appropriate statutory priorities.	3/21/2022
9.2	2 DW	LSLR	Are service lines of any material (e.g., copper) eligible	To be eligible for replacement under the BIL DWSRF LSLR pot, service lines must be made of lead or galvanized pipe. To be eligible under the BIL DWSRF General or the DWSRF base program funding, service lines may be made of any material.	3/21/2022
Q S	B DW	LSLR	If a state has constitutional, statutory, and/or regulatory prohibitions on the use of public money on private property (i.e., prohibitions against using public water system user revenue to replace the privately-owned portion of a LSL), how can states use the BIL DWSRF LSLR funds?		3/21/2022
	4 DW	LSLR		State DWSRF programs may still fund the overall project but are strongly encouraged to use technical assistance and other outreach methods to achieve the fullest possible participation. If the customer continues to refuse access, then the water system should leave the publicly-owned portion of the lead service line in place (so as to not create a partial replacement) and document this action. To be clear, partial service line replacements are not eligible for DWSRF funding (from any DWSRF funding source).	7/13/2022
			Is the replacement of water mains eligible for funding	No, the replacement of water mains is not eligible for funding under the BIL DWSRF LSLR funding pot because they are not "service lines." Under the BIL LSLR pot, Congress defined eligibility in this manner: "Provided further, That the funds provided under this paragraph in this Act shall be for lead service line replacement projects and associated activities directly connected to the identification, planning, design, and replacement of lead service lines."  The SDWA defines a "lead service line" at 42 USC § 300j-19b(a)(4) (under the Reducing Lead in Drinking Water Grant Program) as: "a pipe and its fittings, which are not lead free (as defined in section 300g–6(d) of this title ), that connect the drinking water main to the building inlet." Section 1452 of the SDWA authorizes the DWSRF program. In Section 1452(h)(2) of the SDWA, 42 USC § 300j-12(h)(2), Congress explicitly references that "lead service line" definition in Section 300j-19b(a)(4) to instruct EPA to include assessments of costs to replace all "lead service lines" in the quadrennial Drinking Water Infrastructure Needs Surveys.	
9.5	5 DW	LSLR	,	The installation of new water mains and the replacement of water mains are eligible under the BIL DWSRF General and base capitalization grants.	7/13/2022

Updated September 27, 2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
9.6	i DW	LSLR	May state DWSRF programs make loans directly with engineering firms, contractors, or other entities that are not public water systems to perform LSL inventories and/or LSLR construction?	No, the SDWA authorizes state DWSRF programs to issue loans and other assistance agreements from the infrastructure fund exclusively to public water systems. However, a public water system may partner with other public water systems to apply for DWSRF assistance. For example, a PWS may apply for a DWSRF loan on behalf of several PWSs to conduct LSL inventory work at multiple PWSs. Further, states may use the set-asides to directly contract with engineering firms and contractors to perform LSL inventory work.	7/13/2022
				Under the DWSRF, routine compliance monitoring and sampling, including monitoring and sampling for lead, is not eligible. Routine monitoring and sampling is part of a public water system's responsibility to comply with the SDWA regulations, including the Lead and Copper Rule.	
				State DWSRF programs may use the DWSRF set-asides to conduct non-routine, not-compliance-related lead sampling for investigatory purposes. Public water systems may also conduct non-routine lead sampling (if not for compliance purposes) as part of a lead service line replacement construction project out of the DWSRF infrastructure fund.	
9.7	' DW	LSLR	Can the DWSRF be used to conduct water quality testing (or monitoring or sampling) for lead?	As part of the BIL DWSRF LSLR appropriation, non-routine, not-compliance-related lead sampling may be funded from this appropriation under the parameters explained above. There are similar eligibilities under the BIL DWSRF General funds and the DWSRF base program.	7/13/2022
10.1	. DW	Small Systems	Does the SDWA 1452(a)(2)(F) 15% small system provision apply to the BIL funds?	SDWA 1452(a)(2)(F) requires that at least 15% of the amount credited to the Fund in any fiscal year be made available for assistance to small systems serving under 10,000 persons, to the extent funds can be obligated for eligible projects. Therefore, the percentage is based on all monies that a state expects to be available for loans as described in a state's IUP. This includes the capitalization grant, state match, bond proceeds, repayments, and interest earnings. In other words, the calculation is based on all monies the state plans to make available for assistance agreements as described in a state's IUP sources and uses table. The total "sources" dollar amount should be used for the calculation's denominator. For example, if a state projects \$50M in available "sources," the state should plan to fund at least \$7.5M of small system projects.	3/21/2022
11.1	. Both	Cross-cutters	What are the federal requirements of additional subsidy assistance in the form of "grant" (that are different than requirements of a loan with principal forgiveness)?	Grant recipients are legally considered subrecipients for the purposes of OMB's grant regulations at 2 CFR Part 200 et. seq. In other words, assistance recipients receiving additional subsidy in the form of a grant are subject to additional federal requirements related to grants management that are not applicable to those receiving other forms of SRF additional subsidy. EPA's subaward policy (GPI 16-01) establishes the requirements and procedures for Grants Management Offices and Program Offices in making determinations regarding subrecipient eligibility, overseeing pass-through entity monitoring and management of subawards, and authorizing fixed amount subawards under 2 CFR 200.331, 200.332, and 200.333 ("the applicable regulations"). Additionally, procurement requirements at 2 CFR 200.317-2 CFR 200.327 apply to these subawardees.  EPA will provide a memorandum summarizing the requirements. Broadly, these include the needs for assessing and addressing subawardee risk and ensuring fair and open competition for the utilization of contractors.	7/13/2022
12.1	. cw	CWSRF Administrativ e Funds	How do state CWSRF programs calculate the ceiling they may take for the Administrative funds as authorized under 33 USC 1383(d)(7)?	The maximum annual amount of CWSRF money (not including any fees collected) that may be used to cover the reasonable costs of administering the fund is the greatest of the following: an amount equal to 4% of all grant awards to the fund received by a state CWSRF from 1988 through the current fiscal year less any CWSRF amounts that have been used in previous years to cover administrative expenses; \$400,000; or 1/5th percent of the current valuation of the fund. Per the CWA, states make this calculation once per year, taking into account all federal capitalization grants received that year. States must calculate the ceiling for that year and document it in the Intended Use Plan. Admin can be drawn in any amount from zero up to that ceiling and, EPA encourages state CWSRF programs to draw admin funds from repayments where possible.	7/13/2022

#	SRF	Topic	Question	Answer	Date Q&A Added
13.1	Both	State Match	May states use American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) (P.L. 117–2) Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF) for state match for the CWSRF and DWSRF capitalization grants?	The ARPA SLFRF program has four categories of eligible uses, one of which is referred to as the revenue loss eligible use category. SLFRF funds available under the revenue loss eligible use category may be used to meet the non-federal cost-share or matching requirements of other federal programs, including the CWSRF and DWSRF programs. States may not use ARPA SLFRF funds available under the water and sewer infrastructure eligible use category for state match for the CWSRF or DWSRF. States using ARPA SLFRF funds available under the revenue loss eligible use category as state match for the CWSRF or DWSRF may consider funds expended (for the purposes of ARPA) at the point the state deposits the funds into the SRF. More information can be found in the U.S. Department of Treasury Q&A (#4.6, 4.9, and 6.2) at: https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/SLFRF-Final-Rule-FAQ.pdf	
			If states are using American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) (P.L. 117–2) Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF) for SRF state match, how	Treasury Q&A #3.1 explains that recipients may determine revenue loss by electing the standard allowance or calculating revenue loss according to the formula outlined in the final rule. For recipients not electing the standard allowance, the Treasury Q&A #3.6 provides the formula, while Treasury Q&A #3.5 and 3.13 provide additional information for calculating revenue loss entity-wide.	7/13/2022
14.1	Both	BABA	the state of the s	Yes. The SRF Design Planning Adjustment Period waiver does not waive the iron and steel requirements under BABA. The SRF programs have existing domestic preference requirements for SRF projects under CWA Section 608 and SDWA Section 1452(a)(4) (AIS requirements) to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States. Sections 70917(a) and (b) of BIL explain the application of BABA to existing domestic preference requirements. Specifically, the savings provision in Section 70917(b) states that existing domestic preference requirements that meet BABA requirements are not affected by BABA. The statutory AIS requirements were existing at the time BABA became law and satisfy the BABA iron and steel requirements. Therefore, the statutory AIS requirements that have previously applied to SRF-funded projects will continue to do so, and compliance with AIS requirements will satisfy the BABA iron and steel requirements. Demonstration of compliance for iron and steel products will follow the AIS implementation policies for projects subject to this waiver.	9/27/2022